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Point-of-care ultrasound evaluation of pericardial effusions: Does this patient have cardiac tamponade? ∗
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ABSTRACT

Detection of pericardial effusions using point-of-care focused echocardiography is becoming a common application for clinicians who care for critical patients. Identification of tamponade physiology is of great utility, as these patients require urgent evaluation and management. We describe techniques that the point-of-care clinician sonographer can use to determine the presence or absence of echocardiographic evidence of cardiac tamponade.

© 2011 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Evaluation of the patient in shock or pulseless electrical activity must include prompt recognition of reversible causes of hemodynamic instability. Among these, identification of cardiac tamponade is of particular importance as treatment is disease-specific, requiring emergent drainage of pericardial fluid. Unfortunately, detection of cardiac tamponade using classic physical exam signs such as Beck’s triad of hypotension, distended neck veins and muffled heart sounds can be quite inaccurate.1

Transthoracic echocardiography is the study of choice for the rapid and safe evaluation of pericardial effusions.2 It is non-invasive, performed at the bedside, and has the distinct advantage of detecting evidence of impending cardiac tamponade in patients who have not yet developed hypotension. Classically, cardiac tamponade is defined as a condition in which the pericardial fluid impinges on cardiac function, decreasing cardiac output. Echocardiographic evaluation allows for detection of subclinical signs of cardiac dysfunction, allowing the clinician the ability to make the diagnosis much earlier on the continuum of disease.

Increasingly, clinicians at the patient’s point-of-care (such as emergency physicians and intensivists) have adopted the use of focused point-of-care cardiac ultrasound (PCU) to aid in the evaluation of the critically ill, and have demonstrated competency in basic cardiac evaluations such as detection of pericardial effusion and assessment of global left ventricular function.3–5 Although clinicians may be able to accurately identify pericardial effusions, the majority of these effusions are not associated with tamponade physiology. Determination of the presence or absence of impending cardiac tamponade is therefore of considerable importance to clinicians caring for the normotensive patient with a pericardial effusion.

Echocardiographic evidence of cardiac tamponade is characterized by (1) diastolic collapse of the right ventricle and right atrium, (2) exaggerated respiratory variations of transmitral and transthoracic Doppler inflow velocities, and (3) inferior vena cava (IVC) plethora.6–9 These findings will be discussed as they apply to the point-of-care clinician-sonographer who wishes to determine if echocardiographic evidence of tamponade exists.

2. Point-of-care cardiac ultrasound (PCU) for tamponade

2.1. Chamber collapse

Diastolic collapse of the cardiac chambers (particularly the right ventricle and right atrium) is the most commonly cited criteria for echocardiographic confirmation of tamponade physiology.10 Clinicians who perform PCU, however, have traditionally been at a considerable disadvantage when attempting to determine if diastolic chamber collapse exists. Comprehensive (consultative) transthoracic echocardiography is performed with real-time ECG monitoring which correlates echocardiographic findings with the phase of the cardiac cycle. Therefore, diastolic versus systolic chamber collapse is easily differentiated. PCU is often performed without real-time ECG monitoring, and clinicians may not be able...
to easily identify a given phase of the cardiac cycle, especially in
the presence of marked tachycardia: providers may be unable to
differentiate systolic (physiologic) from diastolic (pathologic) col-
lapse.

While right atrial collapse may be the earliest indicator of tam-
ponade, it is a nonspecific finding that may be associated with
other common conditions in emergency department patients such
as pleural effusions or severe dehydration. Diastolic collapse of the
right ventricle can readily be identified, however, using a technique
that does not require ECG monitoring\textsuperscript{11}; utilizing M-mode (motion
mode), commonly available on inexpensive portable ultrasound
systems. Via a longitudinal image of the heart, from the para-
ster nal or subcostal window, an M-mode view that incorporates the
right ventricular free wall and the mitral valve is obtained (Fig. 1).
Diastole is easily identified as the period from mitral valve open-
ing to mitral valve closure. In cardiac tamponade, compression of
the right ventricular free wall begins as the mitral valve opens in
early diastole. Posterior motion of the right ventricular free wall at
any point during diastole (from opening to the closing of the mitral
valve) is abnormal and indicates diastolic collapse. Right ventric-
ular free wall motion is therefore easily compared to the cardiac
cycle, enabling accurate identification of diastolic chamber collapse
without the need for ECG monitoring (Fig. 2). An alternative tech-
nique involves freezing the screen and manually scrolling frame by
frame through the cardiac cycle to determine the timing of right
ventricular collapse.

2.2. Transvalvular Doppler inflow

Exaggerated respiratory variations in transmitral and transtri-
cuspid Doppler inflow, known as flow velocity paradoxus, can also
accurately identify the presence of tamponade physiology. How-
ever, in contrast to PCU evaluation of chamber collapse, estimation
of transvalvular flow velocities requires considerable additional
skill. Image acquisition can be more challenging: in contrast to the
parasternal and subcostal views needed to assess chamber collapse,
atrio-ventricular valve Doppler inflow velocities are best estimated
from an apical view (which is often the most challenging to obtain).
In addition, a thorough understanding of Doppler principles and
the ability to adjust multiple parameters on the ultrasound sys-
tem is required to accurately measure transvalvular Doppler inflow.
In tachycardic patients, differentiation of A and E waves can be
challenging, even in experienced hands. For all of these reasons,
estimation of transvalvular Doppler inflow velocities is unlikely
to be of great benefit to the majority of point-of-care clinician-
sonographers.

2.3. Inferior vena cava (IVC) plethora

Clinician-sonographers can accurately determine the degree of
inferior vena cava (IVC) collapse using PCU.\textsuperscript{12–14} As pericardial pres-
sures impede venous return and IVC pressures increase, the normal
respiro-phasic property of the IVC is diminished: despite changes in
intra-thoracic pressure, the IVC remains plenethoric throughout the respiratory cycle. In normal patients, the IVC collapses to varying degree based on hydration status or underlying cardiopulmonary disease. Failure of the IVC to noticeably collapse during spontaneous respiration may indicate elevated right-sided pressures due to volume overload, pulmonary embolism, valvular disease or cardiac tamponade. This can be visualized using real-time B-mode sonography, or with the use of M-mode (Fig. 3).

2.4. Limitations of echocardiography

Although cardiac tamponade may be diagnosed using the findings discussed, point-of-care clinician-sonographers need be aware that the echocardiographic examination has limitations. For example, conditions such as severe hypovolemia or large pleural effusions can cause diastolic chamber collapse. In addition, disease states that increase right-sided cardiac pressures (pulmonary hypertension, etc.) may prevent diastolic chamber collapse. If a patient with a pericardial effusion demonstrates clinical signs of shock, cardiac tamponade should be considered as a possible cause, regardless of chamber collapse, transvalvular Doppler inflow, or IVC dynamics.

3. Discussion

Rapid diagnosis and intervention in patients with cardiac tamponade is an essential skill in emergency and critical care medicine. While transthoracic “B mode” (grayscale) sonography can reliably detect pericardial effusions, it does not reliably allow determination of hemodynamic compromise; patients with large effusions may not experience hemodynamic instability, and those with small pericardial effusions may experience cardiac tamponade. Comprehensive echocardiography is performed using attached electrocardiographic (ECG) leads which identify the phase of the cardiac cycle in which the cardiac chambers collapse. Early right ventricular collapse in diastole is commonly accepted as evidence of impending tamponade, and informs the clinician’s approach to further management strategies. Point-of-care ultrasonography is almost always performed without continuous ECG monitoring, forcing the clinician to use other methods to determine the presence of impending tamponade. Visualization of right ventricular free wall collapse during early diastole can be performed using M-mode (which is a common feature of point-of-care ultrasound systems), and clearly defines the presence of tamponade physiology. Inferior vena cava plethora can be identified using M-mode, or simply by observing the IVC during the respiratory cycle using standard B-mode (grayscale) imaging.

4. Conclusion

Clinicians who detect the presence of a pericardial effusion in patients without clinical evidence of shock should proceed directly to further evaluate the movement of the right ventricular free wall and the respirophasicity of the inferior vena cava: this may allow for early diagnosis and management of cardiac tamponade before the development of hemodynamic collapse. As always, ultrasound findings must be interpreted in context of the overall clinical picture, and in patients with suspected tamponade, consultation with cardiology is recommended when available.
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